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#### Abstract

We determine the geometric structures of the families of three variables cubic and quartic cyclic homogeneous inequalities of certain classes. These structures are determined by studying some real algebraic surfaces.


## 1. Introduction.

Symmetric or cyclic homogeneous polynomial inequalities are one of the most elementary inequalities. But they are not studied well. We may dare say that we know only a few even about three variables cyclic homogeneous inequalities. The aim of this article is to present a geometric method in order to deal with the cubic and quartic cyclic homogeneous inequalities in three variables. We sketch the history. Articles on polynomial inequalities are very few. One of the most important symmetric homogeneous inequalities is Muirhead's inequality published in $1902([5])$, which says that if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{n}=m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{n}, \\
& l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k} \geq m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{k} \quad(\forall k=1,2, \ldots, n-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

then the inequality

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} a_{\sigma(1)}^{l_{1}} a_{\sigma(2)}^{l_{2}} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}^{l_{n}} \geq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} a_{\sigma(1)}^{m_{1}} a_{\sigma(2)}^{m_{2}} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}^{m_{n}}
$$

holds for any $a_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, a_{n} \geq 0$.
The following Schur's inequality is also discovered around this age:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a^{d}+b^{d}+c^{d}\right)+a b c\left(a^{d-3}+b^{d-3}+c^{d-3}\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left(a^{d-1} b+b^{d-1} c+c^{d-1} a\right)+\left(a b^{d-1}+b c^{d-1}+c a^{d-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0$ and integers $d \geq 3$.
It is mystery that no generalization of Schur's inequality is known yet in the case of more than three variables, except the case of degree three (see [2] p. 271 Q4). During about a hundred years, there is no essential development. Recently, Cîrtoaje discovered some important theorems about three variable homogeneous inequality. One of them is as the following:
T. Ando

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Chiba University, Yayoi-cho 1-33, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, JAPAN
e-mail ando@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp
Phone: +81-43-290-3675, Fax: +81-43-290-2828
Keyword: Cyclic inequalities, Algebraic inequalities
MSC2010 26D05, 14P05

Theorem. ([4]) (1) Let $f(x, y, z)$ be a quartic symmetric homogeneous polynomial. Then, $f(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$
f(1,0,0) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad f(x, 1,1) \geq 0 \quad(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})
$$

(2) Let $f(x, y, z)$ be a symmetric homogeneous polynomial with $3 \leq \operatorname{deg} f \leq 5$. Then, $f(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for any $x, y, z \geq 0$, if and only if

$$
f(x, 1,0) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad f(x, 1,1) \geq 0 \quad(\forall x \geq 0)
$$

He also obtained similar theorem for symmetric homogeneous polynomials with $6 \leq$ $\operatorname{deg} f \leq 8$. But we omit it because its statement is long. The following theorem is also fundamental.

Theorem. ([3]) Let $p, q, r$ be any real numbers. The cyclic inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}\right)+r\left(a^{2} b^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}+c^{2} a^{2}\right)+(p+q-r-1) a b c(a+b+c) \\
\quad \geq p\left(a^{3} b+b^{3} c+c^{3} a\right)+q\left(a b^{3}+b c^{3}+c a^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

holds for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $3(1+r) \geq p^{2}+p q+q^{2}$.
We analyze the above theorem using a convex cone. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{R}_{+}:=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}, \\
& \mathcal{C}_{d}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
f(a, b, c) & \begin{array}{l}
f \text { is a cyclic homogeneous polynomial } \\
\text { of degree } d, \text { such that } \\
f(a, b, c) \geq 0 \text { for } \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\text { and that } f(1,1,1)=0 .
\end{array} \\
\mathcal{C}_{d}^{+} & :=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f(a, b, c) & \begin{array}{l}
f \text { is a cyclic homogeneous polynomial } \\
\text { of degree } d, \text { such that } \\
f(a, b, c) \geq 0 \text { for } \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \\
\text {and that } f(1,1,1)=0 .
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}, \\
\boldsymbol{S}_{d}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{C}_{d} \mid f \text { is symmetric }\right\}, \\
\mathcal{S}_{d}^{+}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{C}_{d}^{+} \mid f \text { is symmetric }\right\} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Cîrtoaje's inequality implies that $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ is an ellipsoid cone in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. We can also determine the structures of $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}^{+}$, using theorems in $[3] . \boldsymbol{S}_{4}$ is an elliptic cone in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The base of $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}^{+}$is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ enclosed by a part of the ellipse and two line segments. These are explained later. Note that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{d}=\boldsymbol{S}_{d}=0$ if $d$ is odd. It is easy to see that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=\mathcal{C}_{2}^{+}=\boldsymbol{S}_{2}=\boldsymbol{S}_{2}^{+}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}-b c-c a-a b\right),
$$

and these are a half line. In this article, we shall determine the structures of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{3}^{+}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal { S }}_{3}^{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$. As consequences, $\boldsymbol{S}_{3}^{+}$is a sector on a plane. $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ whose base is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ enclosed by a part of quartic curve and a line segment. The base of $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ enclosed by three surfaces, one is a part of the ellipsoid, the others are parts of ruled surfaces. The following inequalities can be proved as a direct corollary of this fact. Note that these are analogues of Schur's inequality.

Let $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0$, then the following hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3}\left(a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)+(3-\sqrt[3]{4}) a b c \geq a^{2} b+b^{2} c+c^{2} a \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a^{3}+b^{3}+c^{3}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{16 \sqrt{2}+13}-1}{2}\left(a^{2} b+b^{2} c+c^{2} a\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\sqrt{16 \sqrt{2}+13}+1}{2}\left(a b^{2}+b c^{2}+c a^{2}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}\right)+\left(\frac{4 \sqrt[4]{3}}{3}-1\right) a b c(a+b+c) \geq \frac{4 \sqrt[4]{3}}{3}\left(a^{3} b+b^{2} c+c^{3} a\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}\right)+\alpha\left(a^{3} b+b^{3} c+c^{3} a\right) \geq(\alpha+1)\left(a b^{3}+b c^{3}+c a^{3}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
& \text { here } \alpha=1.37907443362539958016 \cdots \text { is a root of } \\
& 4 \alpha^{6}+12 \alpha^{5}-48 \alpha^{4}-116 \alpha^{3}+24 \alpha^{2}+84 \alpha+229=0 . \\
& \left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}\right)+\beta\left(a^{3} b^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}+c^{2} a^{2}\right) \geq(\beta+1)\left(a^{3} b+b^{3} c+c^{3} a\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
& \text { here } \beta=2.18452974131524781307 \cdots \text { is a root of } \\
& 4 \beta^{5}+19 \beta^{4}-32 \beta^{3}+2 \beta^{2}-36 \beta-229=0 . \\
& \left(a^{4}+b^{4}+c^{4}\right)+\gamma\left(a^{3} b+b^{3} c+c^{3} a\right) \geq(\gamma+1)\left(a^{2} b^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}+c^{2} a^{2}\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& \text { here } \gamma=5.07790940231978661368 \cdots \text { is a root of } \\
& 4 \gamma^{5}+\gamma^{4}-68 \gamma^{3}-172 \gamma^{2}-192 \gamma+144=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

These inequalities are located on the boundary of $\mathfrak{\mathcal { C }}_{d}^{+}$. Note that Schur's inequality is located on the boundaries of $\boldsymbol{S}_{d}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{C}_{d}^{+}$.

We shall explain the outline of our theory. Let

$$
S_{i, j, k}(a, b, c):=a^{i} b^{j} c^{k}+b^{i} c^{j} a^{k}+c^{i} a^{j} c^{k} .
$$

Take an index set $I_{d}$ so that the set $\left\{S_{i, j, k} \mid(i, j, k) \in I_{d}\right\}$ is a base of the vector space $\{f(a, b, c) \mid f$ is a cyclic homogeneous polynomial of degree $d\}$. Define the holomorphic $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{d}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N}(N=\# I-1=\lceil(d+1)(d+2) / 6\rceil-1)$ by $\varphi(a: b: c)=\left(S_{i, j, k}(a, b, c) \mid\right.$ $(i, j, k) \in I)$. Then $X_{d}:=\varphi_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\right)$ is (a closed domain of) a real projective surface of degree $d$. Consider $X_{d}$ in an affine space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with the origin $(1: 1: \cdots: 1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N}$, and take the convex cone $D_{d} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ generated by $X_{d}$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{d}$ can be identified with the dual convex cone of $D_{d}$. It seems that $X_{d}$ is the whole part of algebraic surfaces, but this is not true for symmetric polynomials. That is, it is only a closed domain of a surface.

Similarly, let $\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}:=\left\{(a: b: c) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \mid a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0\right\}, X_{d}^{+}:=\varphi_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right)$, and $D_{d}^{+}$be the convex cone generated by $X_{d}^{+}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{+}$can be identified with the dual convex cone of $D_{d}^{+}$. Thus, if we study an algebraic surface $X_{d}$ or its closed domain $X_{d}^{+}$, we can determine the structure of the convex cone $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ or $\mathfrak{C}_{d}^{+}$, and we can obtain the sharpest inequalities.

It may be possible to determine the structures of $\mathcal{E}_{d}^{+}, \mathfrak{C}_{d}, \boldsymbol{S}_{d}^{+}, \boldsymbol{S}_{d}$ for $d \geq 5$. But the structure of $X_{d}$ or $X_{d}^{+}$is not so simple for $d \geq 5$. It may also possible to do similar observation for more than three variables inequalities, if we study the structure of higher dimensional projective varieties. Theoretically it will be possible, but the calculation is complicated. The author tried this in vain, and expects the research in the future.

## 2. Main Theorems.

We use the same notation as in the section 1 , and we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i}=S_{i}(a, b, c):=a^{i}+b^{i}+c^{i}, \\
& S_{i, j}=S_{i, j}(a, b, c):=a^{i} b^{j}+b^{i} c^{j}+c^{i} a^{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=U(a, b, c):=a b c, \\
& T_{i, j}=T_{i, j}(a, b, c):=S_{i, j}(a, b, c)+S_{j, i}(a, b, c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{f}_{s}(a, b, c):=s^{2} S_{3}-\left(2 s^{3}-1\right) S_{2,1}+\left(s^{4}-2 s\right) S_{1,2} \\
& \\
& \quad-3\left(s^{4}-2 s^{3}+s^{2}-2 s+1\right) U, \\
& f_{\infty}(a, b, c):=S_{1,2}-3 U
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the following hold.
(1) The boundary of the convex cone $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$is

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{f}_{s} \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\} \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{0}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{\infty}\right)
$$

(2) If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$, then we can find $\alpha, \beta, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $f=\alpha \mathfrak{f}_{s}+\beta \mathfrak{f}_{\infty}$.
(3) Let $f(a, b, c)=S_{3}+p S_{2,1}+q S_{1,2}+r U$ be a cyclic polynomial such that $3+3 p+3 q+r=$ 0. Then, $f \in \mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$if and only if

$$
4 p^{3}+4 q^{3}+27 \geq p^{2} q^{2}+18 p q
$$

or " $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$ ".
(4) $\boldsymbol{S}_{3}^{+}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{2,1}-6 U\right)+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(S_{3}+3 U-T_{2,1}\right)$.

Note that $S_{3}+3 U-T_{2,1} \geq 0$ is Schur's inequality.
Theorem 2. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}_{p, q}(a, b, c):=S_{4}-p S_{3,1}-q S_{1,3} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}}{3}-1\right) S_{2,2}+\left(p+q-\frac{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}}{3}\right) U S_{1}, \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}(a, b, c)=\mathfrak{g}_{p, \infty}(a, b, c)=\mathfrak{g}_{\infty, q}(a, b, c):=S_{2,2}-U S_{1}, \\
& \mathfrak{h}_{s}(a, b, c):=S_{3,1}+s^{2} S_{1,3}-2 s S_{2,2}-(s-1)^{2} U S_{1}, \\
& \mathfrak{h}_{\infty}(a, b, c):=S_{1,3}-U S_{1}, \\
& \mathfrak{k}_{s, t}(a, b, c):=s^{2} S_{4}-\left(2 s^{3}-s t\right) S_{3,1}+\left(s^{3} t-2 s\right) S_{1,3} \\
& \quad+\left(s^{4}-2 s^{2} t+1\right) S_{2,2}+\left(s^{2}-(s-1)^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+1\right)\right) U S_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the following hold.
(1) $\mathfrak{C}_{4}$ is an ellipsoid cone whose boundary is

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \mid(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right\}\right)
$$

(2) If $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{4}$, then we can find $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $f=\alpha \mathfrak{g}_{p, q}+\beta \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$. (See [3])
(3) The boundary of $\mathfrak{C}_{4}^{+}$is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot & \left(\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \mid 9(p+q)^{2}-(p-q)^{2} \geq 6^{2}, p+q \geq 0\right\} \cup\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right\}\right) \\
& \cup \mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \mid s \geq 0, t \geq 1\right\} \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{k}_{0,1}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{h}_{s} \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) If $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{4}^{+}$, then we can find $\alpha, \beta, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $s \in[0, \infty]$ such that

$$
f=\alpha \mathfrak{h}_{s}+\beta \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p}(t, s), \mathfrak{q}(t, s)},
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{p}(t, s) & :=\frac{S_{1}(t, s, 1)\left(T_{2,1}(t, s, 1)-6 U(t, s, 1)-3(t-s)(s-1)(1-t)\right)}{2\left(S_{2,2}(t, s, 1)-U(t, s, 1) S_{1}(t, s, 1)\right)}-1, \\
\mathfrak{q}(t, s) & :=\frac{S_{1}(t, s, 1)\left(T_{2,1}(t, s, 1)-6 U(t, s, 1)+3(t-s)(s-1)(1-t)\right)}{2\left(S_{2,2}(t, s, 1)-U(t, s, 1) S_{1}(t, s, 1)\right)}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \geq 0$ is Cîrtoaje's inequality.
Corollary 3. Use the same notation as Theorem 2, and let

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{p}:=\mathfrak{g}_{p, p}=S_{4}-p T_{3,1}+\left(p^{2}-1\right) S_{2,2}+\left(2 p-p^{2}\right) U S_{1} .
$$

Then, the following hold.
(1) $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}$ is an elliptic cone whose boundary is $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}\right\}$. Thus, if $f \in \boldsymbol{S}_{4}$, then we can find $\alpha, \beta, p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $f=\alpha \mathfrak{g}_{p}+\beta\left(S_{2,2}-U S_{1}\right)$. (See [3])
(2) The boundary of $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}^{+}$is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p} \mid p \in[1, \infty]\right\} \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{1}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{3,1}-2 S_{2,2}\right)\right) \\
\cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{3,1}-2 S_{2,2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, if $f \in \boldsymbol{S}_{4}^{+}$, then we can find $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $p \in[0, \infty]$ such that $f=\alpha \mathfrak{g}_{p}+\beta\left(T_{3,1}-\right.$ $2 S_{2,2}$ ). (See [3])

We shall prove the inequalities (1.1)-(1.6) in the section 1, using above theorems. (1.1) and (1.2) are obtained from $\mathfrak{f}_{s} \geq 0$, putting $s=\sqrt[3]{2}$ or $s=\frac{1+\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{2 \sqrt{2}-1}}{2}$ respectively. (1.3) is obtained from $\mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \geq 0$ putting $(s, t)=(\sqrt[4]{3}, 2 / \sqrt{3})$. (1.4) is obtained from $\mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \geq 0$, eliminating $s$ and $t$ from $\alpha=-(2 s+t / s), s^{2}+1 / s^{2}-2 t=0,1-(s-1)^{2}\left(1+t / s+1 / s^{2}\right)=0$. (1.5) and (1.6) can be obtained by the similar way.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Throughout this paper, we fix the following notation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}:=\text { (real projective space) } . \\
& \left(x_{0}: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{n}\right) \text { the system of homogeneous coordinates of } \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} . \\
& \mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}:=\left\{(a: b: c) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \mid a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well known that for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, the inequalities $S_{4} \geq S_{3,1}, S_{4} \geq S_{2,2} \geq U S_{1}$ hold. Moreover, if $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, then $S_{3} \geq S_{2,1} \geq 3 U, S_{3,1} \geq U S_{1}, T_{3,1} \geq 2 S_{2,2}$ hold.

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) (i) Ws shall prove that $\mathfrak{f}_{s} \in \mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+}$for $s \geq 0$.
Since $\mathfrak{f}_{s}(b, a, c)=s^{4} \mathfrak{f}_{1 / s}(a, b, c)$, we may assume that $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c=1$. Let $k:=$ $(1-b) /(1-a)$. Since $0 \leq a \leq b$, we have $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{f}_{s}(a, b, c)=\mathfrak{f}_{s}(a, 1-k(1-a), 1) \\
& =(1-a)^{2}\left\{a(1-k s)^{2}\left(k+s^{2}\right)+\left(1+(1-k) s^{2}\right)(1-k-s)^{2}\right\} \\
& \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{f}_{s}(0, s, 1)=0$. We recommend readers to use computer to check some complicated equalities which appear in this article as the above.
(ii) We shall observe $X_{3}^{+}$.

Let $\varphi_{3}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ be the holomorphic map defined by

$$
\varphi_{3}(a: b: c):=\left(S_{3}(a, b, c): S_{2,1}(a, b, c): S_{1,2}(a, b, c): U(a, b, c)\right),
$$

and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3}^{+}:=\varphi_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right), \\
& f_{0}(s):=s^{3}+1, \quad f_{1}(s):=s^{2}, \quad f_{2}(s):=s, \quad f_{3}(s):=0, \\
& C_{3}:=\left\{\left(f_{0}(s): f_{1}(s): f_{2}(s): f_{3}(s)\right) \in X_{3}^{+} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{\left(\varphi_{3}(0: s: 1) \in X_{3}^{+} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $C_{3}$ is the boundary of $X_{3}^{+}$, and $C_{3}$ is a nodal plane cubic curve whose node is at (1:0:0:0).


The defining equation of $X_{3}:=\varphi_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+9 x_{3}^{3}-6 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}-x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}+3 x_{0} x_{3}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{3}=0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $X_{3}$ has a rational double point of the type $A_{1}$ at $P_{3}:=(1: 1: 1: 1 / 3)$. Let

$$
V^{3}:=\left\{\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3} \mid x_{0} \neq 0\right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3},
$$

and we choose a system of coordinates $(x, y, z)$ of $V^{3}$ as

$$
\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z)=\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{2}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{3}}{x_{0}}-\frac{1}{3}\right) .
$$

Note that the coordinate of $P_{3}$ is $(x, y, z)=(0,0,0)$. Let $D_{3}^{+} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the convex cone in $V^{3}$ generated by $X_{3}^{+} \subset V^{3}=\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
(iii) We shall show that $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$can be identified with the dual convex cone of $D_{3}^{+}$, i.e.

$$
\left(D_{3}^{+}\right)^{\perp}:=\left\{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text { for } \forall \mathbf{x} \in D_{3}^{+} \cdot\right\} .
$$

Any three variables cyclic cubic homogeneous polynomial can be written as

$$
f(a, b, c)=p_{0} S_{3}+p_{1} S_{2,1}+p_{2} S_{1,2}+p_{3} U, \quad\left(\exists p_{0}, \ldots, p_{3} \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
$$

For this $f$, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{f}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=p_{0} x_{0}+p_{1} x_{1}+p_{2} x_{2}+p_{3} x_{3}, \\
& \mathbf{n}_{f}:=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$. Then $3 p_{0}+3 p_{1}+3 p_{2}+p_{3}=0$, and $p_{0}=f(1,0,0) \geq 0$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in X_{3}^{+} \subset D_{3}^{+}$, and assume that $\mathbf{x}$ corresponds to $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right)=\varphi_{3}(a: b: c)$ $\left(\exists(a: b: c) \in \mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right)$. Since $f \in \mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+}$,

$$
\left(\mathbf{n}_{f} \cdot \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{F_{f}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)}{x_{0}}=\frac{f(a, b, c)}{S_{3}(a, b, c)} \geq 0 .
$$

Since $X_{3}^{+}$generates $D_{3}^{+},\left(\mathbf{n}_{f} \cdot \mathbf{x}\right) \geq 0$ for $\forall \mathbf{x} \in D_{3}^{+}$. Thus $\mathbf{n}_{f} \in\left(D_{3}^{+}\right)^{\perp}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+}$can be identified with $\left(D_{3}^{+}\right)^{\perp}$, corresponding $f$ to $\mathbf{n}_{f}$.
(iv) We shall show that $\mathfrak{f}_{s}$ is located on the boundary of $\mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+}$.

Let $\mathbf{F}_{s}$ be the plane in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ which tangents to $C_{3}$ at $Q_{s}:=\varphi(0: s: 1)=\left(f_{0}(s): f_{1}(s)\right.$ : $\left.f_{2}(s): f_{3}(s)\right)$ and which passes through $P_{3}$. The defining equation of $\mathbf{F}_{s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} \\
-3 & f_{0}(s) & f_{1}(s) & f_{2}(s) \\
\frac{d}{3}(s) \\
\frac{d}{d s} f_{0}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} f_{1}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} f_{2}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} f_{3}(s) \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 / 3
\end{array}\right| \\
& =s^{2} x_{0}-\left(2 s^{2}-1\right) x_{1}+\left(s^{4}-2 s\right) x_{2}-3\left(s^{4}-2 s^{3}+s^{2}-2 s+1\right) x_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This corresponds to $\mathfrak{f}_{s}$. By (i), $\mathfrak{f}_{s} \in \mathcal{E}_{3}^{+}=\left(D_{3}^{+}\right)^{\perp}$. Thus $\mathfrak{f}_{s}$ lies on the boundary of $\mathcal{E}_{3}^{+}$. This fact also implies that $\left\{Q_{s} \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\} \subset C_{3}$ generates $D_{3}^{+}$, and

$$
D_{3}^{+}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in V^{3} \mid\left(\mathfrak{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{x}\right) \geq 0 \text { for } \forall s \in[0, \infty]\right\} .
$$

Let $B_{3}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{f}_{s} \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+b}$ be the boundary of $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$. Above observation implies that $B_{3} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+b}$.
(v) We shall determine $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+b}-B_{3}$, and shall prove (1).

Note that $Q_{0}=Q_{\infty}$ is the node of $C_{3}$, and $C_{3}$ is smooth at $Q_{s}$ if $s \in(0, \infty)$. The boundary of $B_{3}$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{\infty}$. Let $B_{3}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{0}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_{\infty}$. A point on $B_{3}^{\prime}$ corresponds to a surface which tangents $X_{3}^{+}$at $Q_{0}$ and which passes through $P_{3}$. Thus $B_{3}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+b}$, and we conclude that $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+b}=B_{3} \cup B_{3}^{\prime}$. Therefore, we obtain (1).
(2) If $f$ lies on the boundary of $\mathfrak{C}_{3}^{+}$, then (2) is trivial. Assume that $f$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{C}_{3}^{+}$. The half line from $\mathfrak{f}_{\infty}$ to $f$ crosses $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot B_{3}$ at a point $\beta^{\prime} \mathfrak{f}_{s}\left(\exists \beta^{\prime}>0, \exists s \in[0\right.$, $\infty)$ ). Then, we can write $f$ in the form $f=\alpha \mathfrak{f}_{s}+\beta \mathfrak{f}_{\infty}$.
(3) Eliminate $s$ from $p=-\frac{2 s^{3}-1}{s^{2}}, q=\frac{s^{4}-2 s}{s^{2}}$, we obtain $27+4 p^{3}+4 q^{2}=p^{2} q^{2}+18 p q$. If observe the graph of this curve, we have the conclusion. Note that since the dual curve of a plane nodal cubic curve is a quartic curve, $B_{3}$ is generated by a part of a plane quartic curve.
(4) Let $\psi_{3}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow Z^{2}:=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ be the holomorphic map defined by

$$
\psi_{3}(a: b: c):=\left(S_{3}(a, b, c): T_{3}(a, b, c): U(a, b, c)\right),
$$

and let $\pi_{3}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3} \longrightarrow Z^{2}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ be the rational map defined by

$$
\pi_{3}\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right):=\left(x_{0}: x_{1}+x_{2}: x_{3}\right) .
$$

Let $Y_{3}^{+}:=\psi_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right)=\pi_{3}\left(X_{3}^{+}\right) \subset Z^{2}$, and denote $y_{1}:=x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{2}:=x_{1}-x_{2}$,

$$
\eta_{3}\left(x_{0}, y_{1}, x_{3}\right):=4 x_{0}^{2} x_{3}+12 x_{0} x_{3}^{2}+36 x_{3}^{3}-6 x_{3} y_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{3}-x_{0} y_{1}^{2}
$$

Then, (3.1) can be written as $\eta_{3}\left(x_{0}, y_{1}, x_{3}\right)+y_{2}^{2}\left(x_{0}+6 x_{3}+3 y_{1}\right)=0$. Thus,

$$
Y_{3}^{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\left(x_{0}: y_{1}: x_{3}\right) \in Z^{2} & \begin{array}{l}
\eta_{3}\left(x_{0}, y_{1}, x_{3}\right) \leq 0 \\
x_{0} \geq 0, y_{1} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note that $\eta_{3}\left(x_{0}, y_{1}, x_{3}\right)=0$ defines the cubic curve which has the cusp at ( $1: 2: 1 / 3$ ), and which has a parameterization

$$
\left(\left(4-6 m^{2}+3 m^{3}\right):\left(8-16 m+12 m^{2}-3 m^{3}\right):\left(-4+8 m-5 m^{2}+m^{3}\right)\right)
$$

$(1 \leq m \leq 2)$.


As the above figure,

$$
Y_{3}^{+} \subset\left\{\left(x_{0}: y_{1}: x_{3}\right) \in Z^{2} \mid y_{1}-6 x_{3} \geq 0, x_{0}+3 x_{3}-y_{1} \geq 0 .\right\}
$$

Thus, the boundary of $\boldsymbol{S}_{3}^{+}$is $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{2,1}-6 U\right)+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(S_{3}+3 U-T_{2,1}\right)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. (1) We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{p, q}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=x_{0}-p x_{1}-q x_{2} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}}{3}-1\right) x_{3}+\left(p+q-\frac{p^{2}+p q+q^{2}}{3}\right) x_{4} \\
& \quad \\
& G_{\infty}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=x_{3}-x_{4} \\
& H_{s}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=x_{1}+s^{2} x_{2}-2 s x_{3}-(s-1)^{2} x_{4} \\
& H_{\infty}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=x_{2}-x_{4} \\
& K_{s, t}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=s^{2} x_{0}-\left(2 s^{3}-s t\right) x_{1}+\left(s^{3} t-2 s\right) x_{2} \\
& \quad+\left(s^{4}-2 s^{2} t+1\right) x_{3}+\left(s^{2}-(s-1)^{2}\left(s^{2}+s t+1\right)\right) x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) We shall show that $\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \in \mathfrak{C}_{4}$ for $\forall p, \forall q \in \mathbb{R}$.

As Cîrtoaje([3]) had shown,

$$
3 \mathfrak{g}_{p, q}(a, b, c)=\sum_{\text {cyclic }}\left(2 a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}-p a b+(p+q) b c-q c a\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

(ii) We shall show that $\mathfrak{h}_{s}, \mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \in \mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$for $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$.

Since $S_{1,3} \geq U S_{1}$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{h}_{s}(a, b, c) & =s^{2}\left(S_{1,3}-U S_{1}\right)-2 s\left(S_{2,2}-U S_{1}\right)+\left(S_{3,1}-U S_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(S_{1,3}-U S_{1}\right)\left(s-\frac{S_{2,2}-U S_{1}}{S_{1,3}-U S_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{U S_{1}\left(S_{2}-S_{1,1}\right)^{2}}{S_{1,3}-U S_{1}} \\
& \geq 0, \\
\mathfrak{k}_{s, t}(a, b, c) & =s^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{2 s-1 / s, 2 / s-s}(a, b, c)+s(t-1) \mathfrak{h}_{s}(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{C}_{4}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{p}(0, s)=2 s-1 / s, \mathfrak{q}(0, s)=2 / s-s$, and

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p}(s, t), \mathfrak{q}(s, t)}(s, t, 1)=0, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{s}(0, s, 1)=0, \quad \mathfrak{k}_{s, t}(0, s, 1)=0
$$

(iii) We shall show that $\mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \notin \mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$if $t<1, s \geq 0$.

Since $\mathfrak{k}_{s, t}(b, a, 1)=s^{4} \mathfrak{k}_{1 / s, t}(a, b, 1)$, we may assume that $0<s \leq 1$. Let $t>0$ and $p>\max \{2,12 t / s\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{k}_{s, 1-t}(s t / p, s, 1) \\
& =-\frac{s^{2} t^{2}}{p^{4}}\left(p^{2}(p-1)(1-s)+p^{3} s^{2}\left(1-s^{2}\right)+p^{2} s^{5}(1-s)\right. \\
& \quad+p^{3} s^{5}+p(2 p-1) s^{3} t+2 p s^{5} t+p s^{3}(1-s) t^{2}+(2 p-1) s^{4} t^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\left\{p^{2}(p-1) s^{3}-p(3 p-2) s^{2} t-3 p^{2} s^{4} t-p s^{4} t\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t<p s / 12$ and $p / 2<p-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(3 p-2) s^{2} t+3 p^{2} s^{4} t+p s^{4} t=p s^{2} t\left((3 p-2)+(3 p+1) s^{2}\right) \\
& \quad<\frac{p^{2} s^{3}}{12}((3 p-2)+(3 p+1))<\frac{p^{3} s^{3}}{2}<p^{2}(p-1) s^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathfrak{k}_{s, 1-t}(s t / p, s, 1)<0$.
(iv) We shall observe $X_{4}$.

Let $\varphi_{4}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4}$ be the holomorphic map defined by

$$
\varphi_{4}(a: b: c):=\left(S_{4}: S_{3,1}: S_{1,3}: S_{2,2}: U S_{1}\right)
$$

and let $X_{4}:=\varphi_{4}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\right)$. It is easy to see the following equalities hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{3,1}+S_{1,3}+U S_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(S_{4}+2 S_{2,2}\right)\left(S_{2,2}+2 U S_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \left(S_{3,1}+S_{1,3}-2 U S_{1}\right)^{2}+3\left(S_{3,1}-S_{1,3}\right)^{2}+\left(S_{4}-2 S_{2,2}+U S_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(S_{4}-U S_{1}\right)^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the defining equations of the quartic surface $X_{4}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{0}+2 x_{3}\right)\left(x_{3}+2 x_{4}\right)=0 \\
& \left(x_{1}+x_{2}-2 x_{4}\right)^{2}+3\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{0}-2 x_{3}+x_{4}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{0}-x_{4}\right)^{2}=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We knows that $X_{4}$ has a rational double point of the type $A_{1}$ at $P_{4}:=(1: 1: 1: 1: 1)$, from the above equations.


Let $V^{4}:=\left\{\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \mid x_{0} \neq 0\right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4}$, and we choose a system of coordinates $(x, y, z, w)$ of $V^{4}$ as

$$
\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z, w)=\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{2}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{3}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{4}}{x_{0}}-1\right) .
$$

By (4.1), the defining equations of $X_{4} \cap V^{4}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x+y+w+3)^{2}-(2 z+3)(z+2 w+3)=0 \\
& (x+y-2 w)^{2}+3(x-y)^{2}+(2 z-w)^{2}-w^{2}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $W^{3}=\{(x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the hyperplane defined by $w=-1$ in $V^{4}$, and let $\rho: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \longrightarrow W^{3}$ be the projection from the center $P_{4}$. By (4.2), $E:=\rho\left(X_{4}-\left\{P_{4}\right\}\right)$ is an ellipsoid

$$
E=\left\{(x, y, z) \in W^{3} \mid(x+y+2)^{2}+3(x-y)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2}=1 .\right\}
$$

Let $D_{4}$ be the convex cone generated by $X_{4}$ in $V^{4}$. The boundary of $D_{4}$ is the cone whose base is $E$. By the same argument as (iii) of the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ can be identified with the dual convex cone of $D_{4}$.
(v) We shall determine the boundary of $\boldsymbol{C}_{4}$, and shall prove (1).

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}(s, t):=S_{4}(s, t, 1), \quad g_{1}(s, t):=S_{3,1}(s, t, 1), \quad g_{2}(s, t):=S_{1,3}(s, t, 1), \\
& g_{3}(s, t):=S_{2,2}(s, t, 1), \quad g_{4}(s, t):=U(s, t, 1) S_{1}(s, t, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\mathbf{G}_{s, t}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbf{G}_{\infty}\right)$ be the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4}$ which tangents to $X_{4}$ at the point $\varphi_{4}(s$ : $t: 1)=\left(g_{0}(s, t): \cdots: g_{4}(s, t)\right)\left(\right.$ resp. (1:0:0:0)) and which passes through $P_{4}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
g_{0}(s, t) & g_{1}(s, t) & g_{2}(s, t) & g_{3}(s, t) & g_{4}(s, t) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{0}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{1}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{2}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{3}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{4}(s, t) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{0}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{1}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{2}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{3}(s, t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{4}(s, t) \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-S_{1}(s, t, 1)\left(S_{2}(s, t, 1)-S_{1,1}(s, t, 1)\right)^{2}\left(S_{2,2}(s, t, 1)-U(s, t, 1) S_{1}(s, t, 1)\right) \\
& \quad \times G_{\mathfrak{p}(s, t), \mathfrak{q}(s, t)}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the defining equation of $\mathbf{G}_{s, t}$ is given by $G_{\mathfrak{p}(s, t), \mathfrak{q}(s, t)}=0$. Note that the range of $(\mathfrak{p}(s, t)$, $\mathfrak{q}(s, t))$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2}\left((s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. When $s^{2}+t^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, defining equation of $\mathbf{G}_{s, t}$ tends to $G_{\infty}=0$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_{p, q}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ are on the boundary of $\mathfrak{C}_{4}$.

Let $\psi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow W^{3}$ be the rational map defined by

$$
\psi(a: b: c)=\left(-\frac{S_{4}-S_{3,1}}{S_{4}-U S_{1}},-\frac{S_{4}-S_{1,3}}{S_{4}-U S_{1}},-\frac{S_{4}-S_{2,2}}{S_{4}-U S_{1}}\right) .
$$

Take any point $Q \in E$. Since $\psi=\rho \circ \varphi_{4}$, we have $\psi\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\right)=E$. Thus, there exists $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(s: t: 1)=Q$, or $\psi(1: 0: 0)=Q$. Then $\mathbf{G}_{s, t}$ or $\mathbf{G}_{\infty}$ tangents to $E$ at $Q$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
B_{4}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \cup\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right\}\right)
$$

is the boundary of $D_{4}^{\perp}=\mathfrak{C}_{4}$.
(2) can be obtained by the similar argument as the proof of (2) of Theorem 1.
(3) Let $X_{4}^{+}:=\varphi_{4}\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right), E^{+}:=\psi\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right)$, and let $D_{4}^{+}$be the convex cone generated by $X_{4}^{+}$. If we obtain the convex closure $\bar{E}^{+}$of $E^{+}$, we can determine $D_{4}^{+}$as the convex cone whose base is $\bar{E}^{+}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}$ be the boundary of $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$.

(vi) We shall determine $B_{4} \cap \mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}(s):=\frac{s^{3}}{s^{4}+1}-1, \quad k_{2}(s):=\frac{s}{s^{4}+1}-1, \quad k_{3}(s):=\frac{s^{2}}{s^{4}+1}-1 \\
& \Gamma:=\left\{\left(k_{1}(s), k_{2}(s), k_{3}(s)\right) \in W^{3} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}=\left\{\psi(0: s: 1) \in W^{3} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Gamma$ is the boundary of $E^{+}$. Note that $\Gamma$ has a node at $\psi(0: 0: 1)=(-1,-1,-1)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(\mathfrak{p}(s, 0), \mathfrak{q}(s, 0)) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}=\left\{(\mathfrak{p}(0, s), \mathfrak{q}(0, s)) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \\
& =\left\{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 9(p+q)^{2}-(p-q)^{2}=6^{2} \text { and } p+q \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

we know that the plane defined by $G_{p, q}(0, x, y, z,-1)=0$ tangents to $E$ at a point on $\Gamma$ if and only if $9(p+q)^{2}-(p-q)^{2}=6^{2}$ and $p+q \geq 0$. By the above observation, we know that $B_{4} \cap \mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}$ is the following $B_{4}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{4}^{+} & :=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p, q} \mid 9(p+q)^{2}-(p-q)^{2} \geq 6^{2}, p+q \geq 0\right\} \cup\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right\}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p}(s, t), \mathfrak{q}(s, t)} \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \cup\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(vii) We shall determine one of the another parts of $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}$.

Let $\mathbf{K}_{s}(s \in[0, \infty])$ be the plane in $W^{3}$ which tangents to $\Gamma$ at $\psi(0: s: 1)$, and which passes through $(-1,-1,-1)$. The equation of $\mathbf{K}_{s}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x & y & z & 1 \\
k_{1}(s) & k_{2}(s) & k_{3}(s) & 1 \\
\frac{d}{d s} k_{1}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} k_{2}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} k_{3}(s) & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{s^{2}}{\left(s^{4}+1\right)^{2}} H_{s}(0, x, y, z,-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we know that $\mathfrak{h}_{s}$ lies on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$, by (ii). Let

$$
\ell_{s}:=\{(1-\tau)(-1,-1,-1)+\tau \cdot \psi(0: s: 1) \mid 0 \leq \tau \leq 1\}
$$

be the line segments connecting $(-1,-1,-1)$ and $\psi(0: s: 1)$, and let $E_{2}:=\bigcup_{s \geq 0} \ell_{s}$. The plane defined by $H_{s}=0$ tangents $\bar{E}^{+}$at the line segment $\ell_{s}$ on $E_{2}$. Thus, the boundary of $\bar{E}^{+}$is $E^{+} \cup E_{2}$.

The plane defined by $G_{\mathfrak{p}(s, t), \mathfrak{q}(s, t)}=0$ tangents $\bar{E}^{+}$at the point $\psi(s: t: 1)$ on $E^{+}$. Since

$$
K_{s, t}=s^{2} G_{2 s-1 / s, 2 / s-s}+s(t-1) H_{s}=s^{2} G_{\mathfrak{p}(0, s), \mathfrak{q}(0, s)}+s(t-1) H_{s}
$$

the plane defined by $K_{s, t}=0$ tangents $\bar{E}^{+}$at the point $\psi(0: s: 1)$ on $\Gamma(s \geq 0, t \geq 1)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{k}_{s, t}(s \geq 0, t \geq 1)$ lies on the boundary of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{4}^{+}$, and

$$
B_{4}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{k}_{s, t} \mid s \geq 0, t \geq 1\right\}
$$

is a part of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{4}^{+b}$.
(viii) We shall determine $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}-\left(B_{4}^{+} \cup B_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, and shall prove (3).

Let $B_{4}^{+b}, B_{4}^{\prime b}$ be the boundaries of $B_{4}^{+}, B_{4}^{\prime}$. Note that we can identify $\mathfrak{k}_{0, t}=\mathfrak{k}_{0,1}$ with $\mathfrak{k}_{\infty, t}$. As is shown in the above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{4}^{+b}= & \mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \\
B_{4}^{\prime b}= & \left\{\mathfrak{g}_{+} \cdot \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{h}_{s} \mid s \in s\right), \mathfrak{q}(0, s) \mid s \geq 0\right\}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{k}_{s, 1} \mid s \geq 0\right\} \\
& \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{0}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{k}_{0,1}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{\infty}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{k}_{0,1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An element of $B_{4}^{\prime \prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{k}_{0,1}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{h}_{s} \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\}$ corresponds to a plane which tangents to $\bar{E}^{+}$at the point $(-1,-1,-1)$. Thus $B_{4}^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+b}=B_{4}^{+} \cup B_{4}^{\prime} \cup B_{4}^{\prime \prime}$, and we complete the proof of (3).
(4) For $s \geq 0$, let $M_{s}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{s}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{p}(t, s), \mathfrak{q}(t, s)} \mid t \geq 1\right\}$, and $M_{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{\infty}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$. By the above observation, we conclude that $\bigcup_{s \in[0, \infty]} M_{s}=\mathcal{C}_{4}^{+}$. Thus, we have (4).

Remark 4. The polynomials $H_{s}$ and $K_{s, t}$ appear in the defining equation of the hyperplane which tangents to the boundary of $X_{4}^{+}$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{0}(s):=s^{4}+1, \quad l_{1}(s):=s^{3}, \quad l_{2}(s):=s, \quad l_{3}(s):=s^{2}, \quad l_{4}(s):=0 \\
& \begin{aligned}
C_{4} & :=\left\{\left(l_{0}(s): l_{1}(s): l_{2}(s): l_{4}(s)\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\varphi_{4}(0: s: 1) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{4}$ is the boundary of $X_{4}^{+}$. Let $\mathbf{L}_{s} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4}$ be a hyperplane which tangents to $C_{4}$ at $\varphi_{4}(0: s: 1)$ $(s \geq 0)$ and which passes through $P_{4}=(1: 1: 1: 1: 1)$. But these conditions do not determine $\mathbf{L}_{s}$ uniquely. Moreover we assume that $\mathbf{L}_{s}$ passes through a point $\left(t_{0}: t_{1}: t_{2}\right.$ : $\left.t_{3}: t_{4}\right)$. Then the defining equation of $\mathbf{L}_{s}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ccccc|}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\
l_{0}(s) & l_{1}(s) & l_{2}(s) & l_{3}(s) & l_{4}(s) \\
\frac{d}{d s} l_{0}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} l_{1}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} l_{2}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} l_{3}(s) & \frac{d}{d s} l_{4}(s) \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
t_{0} & t_{1} & t_{2} & t_{3} & t_{4}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-s^{2}\left(t_{1}+s^{2} t_{2}-2 s t_{3}-(1-s)^{2} t_{4}\right) G_{\mathfrak{p}(0, s), \mathfrak{q}(0, s)} \\
& \quad+\left\{s^{2} t_{0}+\left(s-2 s^{3}\right) t_{1}+\left(-2 s+s^{3}\right) t_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{2} t_{3}-\left(1-s-s^{2}-s^{3}+s^{4}\right) t_{4}\right\} H_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the defining equation of $\mathbf{L}_{s}$ can be written as $K_{s, t}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$, if we take a suitable $t$.

Remark 5. When we eliminate $s$ and $t$ from

$$
p=-\frac{2 s^{3}-s t}{s^{2}}, \quad q=\frac{s^{3} t-2 s}{s^{2}}, \quad r=\frac{s^{4}-2 s^{2} t+1}{s^{2}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{2} q^{2} r^{2} & -4 p^{3} q^{3}+18 p^{3} q r+18 p q^{3} r-4 p^{2} r^{3}-4 q^{2} r^{3} \\
& -27 p^{4}-27 q^{4}+16 r^{4}-6 p^{2} q^{2}-80 p q r^{2} \\
& +144 p^{2} r+144 q^{2} r-192 p q-128 r^{2}+256=0
\end{aligned}
$$

But the singularity of the surface defined by the above equation is so complicated to state the similar proposition like (3) of Theorem 1.

Proof of Corollary 3. We use the same notation as the above proof.
(1) Let $\psi_{4}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow Z^{3}:=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ be the holomorphic map defined by

$$
\psi_{4}(a: b: c):=\left(S_{4}: T_{3,1}: S_{2,2}: U S_{1}\right)
$$

and let $\pi_{4}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4} \longrightarrow Z^{3}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ be the rational map defined by

$$
\pi_{4}\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right):=\left(x_{0}: x_{1}+x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right)
$$

Put $Y_{4}:=\psi_{4}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\right)=\pi_{4}\left(X_{4}\right)$. We choose a system of coordinates of $\pi_{4}\left(V^{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
(u, z, w)=\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{x_{0}}-2, \frac{x_{3}}{x_{0}}-1, \frac{x_{4}}{x_{0}}-1\right)
$$

Let $W^{2}:=\pi_{4}\left(W^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and we choose a system of coordinates of $W^{2}$ as $(u, z)$. Note that

$$
\pi_{4}(E)=\left\{(u, z) \in W^{2} \mid(u+2)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2} \leq 1 .\right\}
$$

is an ellipse. $\pi_{4}\left(D_{4}\right)$ is the convex cone in $\pi_{4}\left(V^{4}\right)$ whose base is $\pi_{4}(E)$ and whose vertex is $(0,0,0)$. Since the line defined by $-p u+\left(p^{2}-1\right) z=2 p-p^{2}$ (resp. $z=-1$ ) tangents to the ellipse $(u+2)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2}=1$ at $\left(\frac{2 p}{1+p^{2}}-2,-\frac{p^{2}}{1+p^{2}}\right)$ (resp. at $\left.(-2,-1)\right)$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_{p}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$ ) lies on the boundary of $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}=\left(\pi_{4}\left(D_{4}\right)\right)^{\perp}$. It is easy to see that these surround $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}$. Thus $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}\right\}$ is the boundary of $\boldsymbol{S}_{4}$, and we have (1).
(2) Let $\psi_{2}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \longrightarrow W^{2}$ be the rational map defined by

$$
\psi_{2}(a: b: c)=\left(-\frac{2 S_{4}-T_{3,1}}{S_{4}-U S_{1}},-\frac{S_{4}-S_{2,2}}{S_{4}-U S_{1}}\right)
$$

and let $C_{2}:=\left\{\psi_{2}(0: s: 1) \mid s \in[0, \infty]\right\}$. Since $\psi_{2}=\pi_{4} \circ \psi, \pi_{4}\left(E^{+}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{+}^{2}\right)$. Since the defining equation of $C_{2}$ is $2(z+5 / 4)^{2}-(u+2)^{2}=1 / 8$, we have that

$$
\pi_{4}\left(E^{+}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
(u, z) \in W^{2} & \begin{array}{l}
(u+2)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2} \leq 1 \\
2(z+5 / 4)^{2}-(u+2)^{2} \leq 1 / 8
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$



Thus, the convex closure of $\pi_{4}\left(E^{+}\right)$is

$$
\left\{(u, z) \in W^{2} \mid(u+2)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2} \leq 1 \text { and } u-2 z \geq 0\right\}
$$

Let $D_{4}^{\prime}$ be the convex cone generated by $\pi_{4}\left(E^{+}\right)$. By the above observation, we conclude that the boundary of the dual convex cove $\left(D_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \cong \boldsymbol{S}_{4}^{+}$is the union of a surface

$$
B_{1}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\left(-p, p^{2}-1,2 p-p^{2}\right) \mid p \in[1, \infty]\right\}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{p} \mid p \in[1, \infty]\right\}
$$

and two faces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot(-1,0,1)+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot(1,-2,0)=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{1}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{3,1}-2 S_{2,2}\right) \\
& B_{2}^{\prime \prime}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot(0,1,-1)+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot(1,-2,0)=\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}+\mathbb{R}_{+} \cdot\left(T_{3,1}-2 S_{2,2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have (2).
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